

2009

Income of North Carolina Timber Harvested and Delivered to Mills

County	Acres of Timberland ¹	% Timberland	Stumpage ² Value	Delivered ³ Value	Rank
Alamance	126,366	45%	\$ 4,956,294	\$ 9,493,307	38
Alexander	97,495	58%	\$ 1,905,474	\$ 3,633,947	83
Alleghany	91,876	54%	\$ 1,531,963	\$ 3,102,312	87
Anson	234,811	73%	\$ 9,979,113	\$ 18,105,597	12
Ashe	179,785	62%	\$ 3,725,935	\$ 7,119,387	44
Avery	133,082	79%	\$ 1,357,427	\$ 2,542,689	90
Beaufort	347,749	61%	\$ 33,342,205	\$ 56,576,227	1
Bertie	313,833	72%	\$ 14,818,645	\$ 28,288,921	3
Bladen	391,768	71%	\$ 10,948,451	\$ 19,511,351	8
Brunswick	390,129	71%	\$ 13,902,682	\$ 25,092,308	6
Buncombe	229,892	58%	\$ 1,868,168	\$ 3,979,775	78
Burke	249,343	79%	\$ 2,744,250	\$ 6,539,583	50
Cabarrus	86,708	36%	\$ 1,211,806	\$ 2,456,606	91
Caldwell	236,531	79%	\$ 3,223,445	\$ 5,942,334	58
Camden	59,892	37%	\$ 2,361,111	\$ 5,262,101	68
Carteret	164,786	39%	\$ 3,018,921	\$ 5,819,716	60
Caswell	179,401	68%	\$ 4,446,928	\$ 11,455,426	30
Catawba	109,228	47%	\$ 2,768,176	\$ 5,278,957	67
Chatham	244,975	59%	\$ 9,122,017	\$ 17,549,527	13
Cherokee	246,203	85%	\$ 1,993,531	\$ 5,631,537	64
Chowan	50,978	44%	\$ 1,385,224	\$ 2,894,512	88
Clay	82,214	77%	\$ 467,912	\$ 1,366,699	95
Cleveland	126,673	41%	\$ 3,138,211	\$ 6,618,543	48
Columbus	403,542	66%	\$ 16,074,774	\$ 28,264,849	4
Craven	260,441	56%	\$ 18,101,346	\$ 31,811,064	2
Cumberland	217,353	53%	\$ 1,857,645	\$ 4,158,113	76
Currituck	44,264	21%	\$ 1,018,808	\$ 1,857,660	93
Dare	169,369	53%	\$ 336,839	\$ 523,613	98
Davidson	178,109	49%	\$ 2,831,551	\$ 5,716,703	62
Davie	87,171	52%	\$ 799,753	\$ 1,603,934	94
Duplin	264,547	52%	\$ 5,737,023	\$ 11,655,104	27
Durham	85,600	46%	\$ 2,023,869	\$ 4,044,298	77
Edgecombe	169,409	57%	\$ 5,961,402	\$ 11,619,502	28
Forsyth	113,244	43%	\$ 2,313,597	\$ 4,556,052	75
Franklin	203,640	66%	\$ 5,801,909	\$ 10,834,566	31
Gaston	109,307	48%	\$ 2,276,841	\$ 4,777,522	70
Gates	134,786	70%	\$ 8,083,584	\$ 16,100,335	15
Graham	179,323	98%	\$ 392,192	\$ 1,335,422	96
Granville	216,847	62%	\$ 7,225,792	\$ 14,013,512	19
Greene	66,656	38%	\$ 1,426,685	\$ 2,731,989	89
Guilford	166,843	40%	\$ 2,691,403	\$ 5,292,011	66
Halifax	262,489	58%	\$ 13,200,379	\$ 25,093,716	5
Harnett	170,887	45%	\$ 5,538,343	\$ 9,647,034	37
Haywood	228,358	63%	\$ 2,461,899	\$ 6,090,968	56
Henderson	150,980	66%	\$ 2,598,251	\$ 4,735,332	72
Hertford	161,360	72%	\$ 5,856,107	\$ 11,471,367	29
Hoke	164,656	65%	\$ 3,207,105	\$ 5,846,813	59
Hyde	214,937	53%	\$ 2,279,142	\$ 4,717,529	73
Iredell	129,494	35%	\$ 2,673,154	\$ 5,559,274	65
Jackson	263,545	86%	\$ 1,205,340	\$ 2,357,728	92
Johnston	190,916	39%	\$ 3,246,085	\$ 6,294,650	54

North Carolina Facts

- **Wood** delivered to the sawmills represents over \$993 million in 2008.
- **Forestry, logging, and wood products manufacturing** contributes \$10.9 billion to NC's economy.
- **Pulpwood** is the leading wood product in NC, with 454 million cubic feet cut annually.
- **Saw-log output** is next at 400 million cubic feet cut annually.
- **Veneer logs / composite** are third largest at 115 million cubic feet cut annually.

Source: Brown, Mark J.; New, Barry D.; Oswalt, Sonja N. [and others]. 2006. North Carolina's Forests, 2002. Resour. Bull. SRS-113. Asheville, NC: U.S. department of Agriculture Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 63 p.



County	Acres of Timberland ¹	% Timberland	Stumpage ² Value	Delivered ³ Value	Rank
Jones	224,559	77%	\$ 7,766,900	\$ 14,990,115	17
Lee	113,193	75%	\$ 4,089,078	\$ 6,356,693	52
Lenoir	132,331	52%	\$ 3,509,923	\$ 7,032,180	45
Lincoln	80,361	40%	\$ 3,344,565	\$ 6,326,830	53
Macon	231,038	80%	\$ 1,334,412	\$ 3,516,260	84
Madison	296,565	83%	\$ 2,356,436	\$ 4,697,031	74
Martin	206,526	74%	\$ 10,709,198	\$ 18,532,114	9
McDowell	214,226	67%	\$ 3,090,371	\$ 6,653,628	47
Mecklenburg	89,980	27%	\$ 1,693,623	\$ 3,772,957	82
Mitchell	101,321	74%	\$ 1,638,590	\$ 3,124,685	86
Montgomery	228,266	77%	\$ 9,907,001	\$ 18,176,525	11
Moore	305,002	67%	\$ 13,676,300	\$ 22,434,460	7
Nash	174,264	50%	\$ 4,544,327	\$ 8,227,732	41
New Hanover	47,315	33%	\$ 725,417	\$ 1,257,971	97
Northampton	218,952	62%	\$ 7,197,222	\$ 16,022,311	16
Onslow	378,520	75%	\$ 3,945,736	\$ 8,257,667	40
Orange	123,488	46%	\$ 1,403,470	\$ 3,511,351	85
Pamlico	135,617	54%	\$ 7,036,106	\$ 13,298,920	23
Pasquotank	30,169	20%	\$ 1,944,299	\$ 3,962,710	79
Pender	412,200	74%	\$ 7,522,254	\$ 13,891,478	21
Perquimans	57,613	31%	\$ 2,713,585	\$ 4,748,730	71
Person	133,592	60%	\$ 3,258,685	\$ 10,097,599	35
Pitt	159,086	40%	\$ 9,560,548	\$ 18,476,002	10
Polk	104,066	71%	\$ 2,186,017	\$ 4,915,035	69
Randolph	272,723	54%	\$ 6,896,656	\$ 13,603,980	22
Richmond	209,968	73%	\$ 8,551,950	\$ 14,001,933	20
Robeson	324,522	54%	\$ 5,940,741	\$ 11,953,768	26
Rockingham	266,749	71%	\$ 3,499,611	\$ 10,004,180	36
Rowan	127,120	43%	\$ 3,258,828	\$ 8,084,258	42
Rutherford	191,457	55%	\$ 4,857,344	\$ 13,251,795	24
Sampson	278,542	46%	\$ 5,569,914	\$ 10,441,462	33
Scotland	132,616	63%	\$ 3,031,350	\$ 5,708,417	63
Stanly	121,812	47%	\$ 1,874,131	\$ 3,822,712	80
Stokes	182,241	69%	\$ 4,354,721	\$ 9,192,268	39
Surry	194,339	58%	\$ 3,411,384	\$ 7,216,445	43
Swain	139,098	41%	\$ 138,882	\$ 253,607	100
Transylvania	223,972	90%	\$ 222,655	\$ 410,453	99
Tyrrell	135,121	50%	\$ 3,530,435	\$ 6,455,496	51
Union	162,716	37%	\$ 2,136,759	\$ 6,288,804	55
Vance	111,514	66%	\$ 3,154,429	\$ 5,741,901	61
Wake	143,686	28%	\$ 7,635,728	\$ 14,869,917	18
Warren	206,554	80%	\$ 8,992,473	\$ 17,068,914	14
Washington	134,481	56%	\$ 5,917,719	\$ 10,318,204	34
Watauga	105,853	55%	\$ 2,054,193	\$ 3,800,298	81
Wayne	144,241	41%	\$ 5,711,286	\$ 10,620,141	32
Wilkes	340,813	71%	\$ 5,993,755	\$ 12,504,205	25
Wilson	91,872	43%	\$ 3,734,843	\$ 6,858,548	46
Yadkin	88,617	38%	\$ 3,286,778	\$ 6,545,521	49
Yancey	157,603	77%	\$ 3,196,492	\$ 6,053,079	57
STATE TOTAL	18,068,271	58%	\$ 483,545,825	\$ 934,323,313	


¹Timberland = forested area not subject to preservation

²Stumpage = price paid to landowner for standing timber

³Delivered = price paid to timber buyer upon delivery of timber to the mill


Sources: US Forest Service, *Forest Inventory and Analysis Data 2006, 2007 Timber Products Output Survey*, and Timber Mart South Vol. 34, 2009.

2006 Area of Timberland Ownership
in North Carolina



- National Forest 6%
- Other Federal 3%
- State 3%
- County and Municipality 1%
- Undifferentiated Private 86%

2006 Land Classification of
North Carolina



- Timberland 58%
- Non-Timberland 40%
- Reserved Timberland 2%

Prepared by

James Jeuck, Extension Associate
Robert Bardon, Extension Specialist

Extension Forestry
Campus Box 8008
NC State University
Raleigh, NC 27695-8008

919-515-5638